

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,854	03/30/2004		Fidel Realyvasquez	CSI-2024	5603	
7	590 08/0	01/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jeffrey J. Hohenshell				STEWART, ALVIN J		
710 Medtronic Parkway Minneapolis, MN 55432				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				3738		
				DATE MAILED: 08/01/2000	DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/814,854	REALYVASQUEZ ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alvin J. Stewart	3738	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under the state of	action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations disclosed in claim 10, for example, Figures 1A-8E, do not show a closed ring shaped member must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9-16, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gabbay US Patent 6,869,444 B2.

Gabbay discloses a heart valve prosthesis comprising a surgical implant (150), a curved member (176, 203, etc.) and a skirt (164, 174, 168, or 212, etc.).

Regarding claim 2, see col. 7, lines 1-10.

Regarding claim 3, the curved member can be called to element structure 203.

Regarding claim 4, see Fig. 14, showing wires coming out of element 432 (see wires before going up).

Regarding claim 6, see inner perimeter, in Fig. 15 (edge of section 468); then see struts (wires) 438 below edge of section 468.

Regarding claim 7, wires can be called prosthetic tissue.

Regarding claim 9, mesh 442 can be called fibrous mesh.

Regarding claim 10, see Figs. 1-4, 7-10 and 16-20.

Regarding claim 22, the Examiner believes that all the structure limitations can read on that claim. The word "mounted" has been interpreted broadly. In the Merriam-Webster Dictionary the word "mount" means the following: --- to arrange or assemble for use---. For the above reasons the spikes are mounted on the delivery apparatus because they are touching the walls of the delivery device.

Claims 19-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williamson, IV et al US Patent 6,042,607.

Williamson, IV et al discloses a heart valve delivery system comprising a delivery device comprising a plurality of tubes pairs (see Figs. 31-34E, elements 688 & 686), a plurality of self-closing clips (730), each clip having an open config. (see Fig. 34B) and a closed config. (see Fig. 34E), a first and second piercing ends (726). A first portion of the clip (722) slidably positioned in one tube and a second portion (724) slidably positioned in the other tube.

Regarding claim 20, see plunger in Fig. 32 element 704.

Regarding the prosthetic valve leaflet and the member supporting the leaflet in claim 22 see Fig. 2.

Regarding claims 22-25 and 27-32, see Figs. 1-16.

Regarding claims 22 and 26-32, see Figs. 31-39D.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabbay US Patent 6,869,444 B2.

Gabbay discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Gabbay does not disclose a skirt made of ePTFE.

Gabbay teaches a skirt made of metal, plastic, synthetic materials, etc.. for the purpose of having a biocompatible implant.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time he invention was made to modify the material property of the Gabbay reference in order to have a biocompatible material into the blood vessel.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALVIN J. STEWART
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 3738

July 20, 2006.